

ROMANTIC TEXTUALITIES
LITERATURE AND PRINT CULTURE, 1780–1840



Issue 21
(Winter 2013)

Centre for Editorial and Intertextual Research
Cardiff University

Romantic Textualities is available on the web at www.romtext.org.uk,
and on Twitter @romtext

ISSN 1748-0116

Romantic Textualities: Literature and Print Culture, 1780–1840, 21 (Winter 2013).
<www.romtext.org.uk/issues/rt21.pdf>.

© 2013–14 Centre for Editorial and Intertextual Research

Published by the Centre for Editorial and Intertextual Research, Cardiff University.
Typeset in Adobe Garamond Pro 11 / 12.5, using Adobe InDesign cc; images and illustrations prepared using
Adobe Illustrator cc and Adobe PhotoShop cc; final output rendered with Adobe Acrobat xi Professional.

Editor: Anthony Mandal, *Cardiff University, UK*

Associate Editor: Nicola Lloyd, *Cardiff University, UK*

Reviews Editor: Katie Garner, *University of Cork, Ireland*

Editorial Assistants: Esther McConnell, Rhiannon Hayes, Joshua Naylor, *Cardiff University, UK*

Advisory Board

Peter Garside (Chair), *University of Edinburgh, UK*

Jane Aaron, *University of Glamorgan, UK*

Stephen Behrendt, *University of Nebraska, USA*

Emma Clery, *University of Southampton, UK*

Benjamin Colbert, *University of Wolverhampton, UK*

Gillian Dow, *University of Southampton / Chawton House Library, UK*

Edward Copeland, *Pomona College, USA*

Gavin Edwards, *University of South Wales, UK*

Gillian Dow, *University of Southampton / Chawton House Library, UK*

Penny Fielding, *University of Edinburgh, UK*

Caroline Franklin, *University of Swansea, UK*

Isobel Grundy, *University of Alberta, Canada*

Ian Haywood, *University of Roehampton, UK*

David Hewitt, *University of Aberdeen, UK*

Gillian Hughes, *Independent Scholar*

Claire Lamont, *University of Newcastle, UK*

Devoney Looser, *Arizona State University, US*

Robert Miles, *University of Victoria, Canada*

Rainer Schöwerling, *University of Paderborn, Germany*

Christopher Skelton-Foord, *University of Durham, UK*


Kathryn Sutherland, *University of Oxford, UK*

Graham Tulloch, *Flinders University, Australia*

Maximilian van Woudenberg, *Sheridan Institute of Technology, Canada*

Nicola Watson, *Open University, UK*

Aims and Scope: Formerly *Cardiff Corvey: Reading the Romantic Text* (1997–2005), *Romantic Textualities: Literature and Print Culture, 1780–1840* is an online journal that is committed to foregrounding innovative Romantic-studies research into bibliography, book history, intertextuality, and textual studies. To this end, we publish material in a number of formats: among them, peer-reviewed articles, reports on individual/group research projects, bibliographical checklists and biographical profiles of overlooked Romantic writers. *Romantic Textualities* also carries reviews of books that reflect the growing academic interest in the fields of book history, print culture, intertextuality and cultural materialism, as they relate to Romantic studies.

is exactly the kind of text that could have helped Kuhn to answer his question ‘where precisely does one draw the line between natural observation and personal narrative?’ (p. 2), as could any number of other collections of scientific letters, journals and travel writing from the period. This is a not a long book: it needed more time to be spent among more varied primary material to give a full picture of the interactions it begins to suggest. 

NOTES

1. Kuhn credits the latter neologism to Lamarck in 1802, although it was used earlier in English by that quintessential figure of Romantic natural science, Thomas Beddoes, in 1799. Another suggestive overlap is that biology has an earlier history in the lexicon as a rare synonym for biography (OED).

James Whitehead
King's College London

Paul Youngquist (ed.), *Race, Romanticism, and the Atlantic* (Aldershot and Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2013), xi + 267pp. ISBN 978-0-7546-6927-2; £65 / \$109.95 (hb).


‘BRITISH ROMANTICISM’, writes Paul Youngquist in *Race, Romanticism, and the Atlantic*, ‘is white’ (p. 91). Youngquist’s volume interrogates this ideology of whiteness, critiquing its systematic erasure of the violence in and across the Black Atlantic in the early nineteenth century. The collection brings together nine essays, organised into sections on ‘Differences’, ‘Resistances’ and ‘Crossings’. As the plural forms of these words suggest, the underlying idea is one of ‘multiplicity’, a term that appears multiple times in Youngquist’s introduction: ‘The hope that drives this collection of essays is that [a] renewed conjunction of imagination and multiplicity can disrupt the grim legacy of racism by recovering the multiplicity it disavows’ (p. 18).

Indeed the success of the project derives from this sense of multiplicity, not only demonstrated in the range of the subjects discussed, but also in the diversity of approaches to literary and cultural studies: Marlon B. Ross offers a meta-theoretical look at two early, unacknowledged practitioners of critical race theory, Olaudah Equiano and Mungo Park; C. S. Biscombe blends first-person travel narrative with historical analysis in his study of ‘Black Loyalists’ in Romantic-era Canada; and readings of literary texts are coupled with analyses of visual culture throughout the collection, from Elise Bruhl and Michael Gamer’s examination of Emma Hamilton’s ‘Nubian’ servant Fatima to Daniel O’Quinn’s reading of the boxing battles between the white Briton Thomas Cribb and the black American Thomas Molineaux. Taken together, these essays remind us that, as Ross puts it, ‘the tenets of race (and thus of racism) were [...] disjointedly sloppy’ (p. 27) and that, to begin to understand these tenets, we need a certain critical polyphony that, while not ‘sloppy’ in itself, calls forth

the messiness of race in the Romantic era.

Within the muddled discourse of race, this collection reveals, there are identifiable patterns and consistent themes that make race legible for the modern critic. Thus Youngquist's essay on Queen Charlotte and Debbie Lee's study of single black mothers demonstrate the inseparability of racial difference from *different* differences, in this case gender. The examples of Queen Charlotte, who was frequently depicted with 'Negroid' features, and the 'lone mother' dubbed 'Black Peggy' suggest that the markers of black femininity were highly adaptive, capable of dislocating and silencing women of vastly different circumstances.

Another key recurrence within the texts explored by these essays is what Grégory Pierrot calls the 'lonely hero myth' (p. 126), which imaginatively situates resistance within the efforts of a single figure, such as the abolitionist William Wilberforce. Pierrot is addressing here the nationalistic ideology that underlay the bicentennial celebration of abolition in Britain in 2007, but the essays in the collection suggest that this myth was already in place in the early nineteenth century. Three-Fingered Jack Mansong, the Jamaican rebel who, according to Frances Botkin's essay, inspired several fictionalised retellings during the Romantic period, is one such mythical character; the Jamaican guerilla Queen Nanny whom Youngquist introduces in the final pages of his essay on Queen Charlotte is similarly seen to embody the movement toward freedom. Clearly, the mythologising of such figures performs a rather different function than does the glorification of someone like Wilberforce: the symbol of the black-bodied hero means differently than that of the white saviour. And yet, when we pair these historical characters we begin to see how the Romantic cult of individuality shaped the discourse of race in the early nineteenth century and continues to inform our understanding of race today.

In fact, one issue that is clear from this collection is precisely the relevance of Romanticism's particular figurations of race to our present moment. Ross points to the 'racial trauma' (p. 32) of Hurricane Katrina; Botkin shows the legacy of Three-Fingered Jack in contemporary theatre; Giscombe details the personal importance of Black Loyalists to their direct descendants—when one such descendant, Debra Hill, is asked by Giscombe how she would like the community of Loyalists to be represented in his essay, she responds, 'Talk about our strength' (p. 77). In this way, Youngquist's collection is timely not just because it builds on an important trend in the field of Romanticism, continuing to remind us that any history of the era, literary or otherwise, must deal with the question of race, but also because our understanding of our own era is incomplete without a deep awareness of the legacy of Romanticism and its complex articulations of blackness. 

Manu Samriti Chander
Rutgers University–Newark

